

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,370	DARLING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mary J. Steelman	2191	

All Participants:

(1) Mary J. Steelman.

(2) Daniel D. Ledesma, Reg. No. 57,181.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested Applicant more clearly define claim limitations to reflect invention as disclosed in the Specification. Applicant declined to amend claims at this time.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)